

JS-6

United States District Court
Central District of California

FARSTONE TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

APPLE INC.,

Counterclaimant,

v.

FARSTONE TECHNOLOGY, INC.,

Counterdefendant.

Case No. 8:13-cv-01537-ODW (JEMx)

**FINAL JUDGMENT AND
DISMISSAL WITHOUT
PREJUDICE OF APPLE
COUNTERCLAIMS AND
DEFENSES**

///

///

///

1 Pursuant to the Court's Supplemental Claim Construction Order (ECF No. 192)
2 finding claims 1–14 of U.S. Patent No. 7,120,835 (the “'835 patent”) as invalid for
3 indefiniteness under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 2, and the parties stipulation, the Court
4 **ENTERS FINAL JUDGMENT** of indefiniteness as to all asserted claims (1–7 and
5 9–13 of the '835 Patent) under § 112, ¶ 2. The Court also **DISMISSES** without
6 prejudice Apple's defenses and counterclaims.

7 This is a final, appealable judgment.
8

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11 November 10, 2015

12
13 

14 **OTIS D. WRIGHT, II**
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28